



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/526,836

03/04/2005

Kilwon Cho

DE1615

9374

1109 7590 05/28/2008  
ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK, P.C.  
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK,, NY 10020-1182

EXAMINER

ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1618

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/526,836	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAMES W. ROGERS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James W Rogers, Ph.D. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Eugene Lieberstein, Reg. No. 24,645. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Eugene Lieberstein the attorney of record for application 10/526,836 for a proposed amendment to claim 1 that would include the limitation of claim 8 for the structure of the copolymer into claim 1. Eugene Lieberstein called the examiner on 5/21/2008 and stated at this time applicants did not wish to amend claim 1 to include the narrower limitation of claim 8.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James W Rogers, Ph.D./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1618

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required